Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/715,586	DANFORTH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Raymond J. Henley III	1614
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) Raymond J. Henley III.	(3)	
(2) <u>Stephana E. Patton</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 May 2005	Time: <u>PM (E.D.T.)</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None	·	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Application is abandoned.		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
)		
12/1/184		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicem	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature if appropriate)